8/31/72 Graham's 8/11 piece on Kunkin-Narcs tears me because if it goes to Supreme Court first it is most prejudical possible form and can becloud the constitutional issue in the Ellsberg case. I have had dealings with Kunkin that tell me he lacks scriple, esp. with money, and is more a commercializer than a manof principle. Perhaps I'm wrong, but this has been my experience. He oews me over $75.00 and hasn't answered half-dozen duns. I note Je's prescient marking of "stolen property" and "Government property" and find it not irrelevant to the letter to Tom Kelley I have already sent. I made this point in a suit where it was ignored by the DJ as it was by the judge. I make it at more length and direct citations in PM. There is another side of this rarely discussed; how the xerox robs the writer. It is not a simple issue or problem and, in fact, for Graham I find the treatment sympathetic. Guess maybe it helps him feel better about himself. When I consider the harm done by the hard stuff, I find myself wondering why printing the names of those who could get pushers with users, all of whom need an effort at kicking the hard stuff, a socially-useful venture. I guess these same people also get the grass mokers and maybe Kunkin justified it that way. He was later, as I recall, to be proclaiming that "Speed Kills". After how many? There is no black and white in that part for me. Thanks, HW